### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom NTE	the RNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTH	ORITY			
To:	•	REC	O'D 20 DEC 2005	PCT	
		W	PO PC	<u>-</u>	
	see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY		
			.,		
			. (12)	CT Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1)	
	•	•	Date of mailing		
	·		(day/month/year) see	form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)	
Appl	icant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER A	CTION	
•	form PCT/ISA/220		See paragraph 2 below		
Inter	national application No.	International filing date (	day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)	
PC <sup>-</sup>	T/NL2005/000133	23.02.2005		23.02.2004	
Inter	national Patent Classification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC		
A61	F2/00				
	icant				
10L	NGENENGEL RESEARCH & D	EVELOPMENT B.V.			
1.	This opinion contains indicati	ons relating to the foll	owing items:	·	
	Box No. I Basis of the or	sinion			
	Box No. II Priority				
	••	nent of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventive	step and industrial applicability	
	☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of				
	Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; c	ement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> itations and explanations	s.1(a)(i) with regard to n s supporting such state	ovelty, inventive step or industrial ment	
	Box No. VI Certain docum	ents cited			
	Box No. VII Certain defect	s in the International app	olication		
☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the International application			nai application		
2.	FURTHER ACTION			·	
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply when the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				owever, this does not apply where hosen IPEA has notifed the	
	If this opinion is, as provided about submit to the IPEA a written replacement of mailing whichever expires later.	ly together, where appro	ppriate, with amendmen	PEA, the applicant is invited to ts, before the expiration of three from the priority date,	
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				•	
3.	For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.				
			•		
				•	

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

9))

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Germano, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4202



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NL2005/000133

21010			
	Box No. I Basis of the opinion		
1.	th regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
<ol> <li>With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:</li> </ol>			
	a. type of material:		
	□ a sequence listing		
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing		
	o. format of material:		
	☐ in written format		
	☐ in computer readable form		
	time of filing/furnishing:		
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.		
	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.		
*	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.		
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.		
4.	Additional comments:		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-6

No:

No:

Claims

1,2

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-6

1-6

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. The document US-A-6 231 617 describes the closest prior art to the present application, see figs. 3-5 and the relevant description.

In particular this document discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document, the wording adheres to the wording of claim 1):

"a liner (10) for connecting a prosthesis with the stump of an amputated lower leg, comprising a stocking (11) from a substantially flexible material for surrounding the stump with a first, open end (14) for receiving the stump therethrough, and a second, closed end (12) which is, on the outside, provided with coupling means (13) for coupling to the prosthesis, wherein the stocking (11) is, on inside, provided with a substantially form retaining disc (18a) for supporting the end of the stump, and wherein the substantially form-retaining disc (18a) is substantially non-round in view from the open end (14) of the stocking.

This disclosure corresponds to the subject-matter of claim 1 which therefore is not new and does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT.

- 2. The features of claims 2 are also disclosed, in combination with the features of claim 1, in said US-A-6 231 617. Therefore claim 2 is not new and does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT.
- 3. The features of claims 3 to 6 are not described in said US-A-6 231 617. Therefore the subject-matter of claims 3 to 6 is new and meets the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT.
- 3.1 However, these features merely refer to particular configurations and forms of the retaining disc. The skilled man would not to have to apply an inventive ingenuity in order to arrive to these forms, according to the circumstances.

  Moreover these forms are suggested in US-A-5 529 575.

Therefore claims 3 to 6 do not involve an inventive step and do not meet the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT

#### Re Item VII

### Certain defects in the international application

- 4. Independent claim should be cast in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document US-A-6 231 617) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
  - In the present case however it appears that a new claim 1 to be filed should contain in its preamble at least all of the features of present claim 1.
- 5. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 6. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document US-A-6 231 617 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.
- 7. The description should be put in conformity with the claims as required by Rule 5.1(a)(iii) PCT.

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

To:		PO P	PCT			
see form PCT/ISA/220		WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)				
·		Date of mailing (day/month/year) se	e form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)			
Applicant's or agent's file reference see form PCT/ISA/220	·	FOR FURTHER A				
International application No. PCT/NL2005/000133	International filing date 23.02.2005	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 23.02.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPCA61F2/00						
Applicant JONGENENGEL RESEARCH	& DEVELOPMENT B.V	•				
<ul> <li>Box No. I Basis of the Box No. II Priority</li> <li>Box No. III Non-estable Box No. IV Lack of under Box No. V Reasoned applicabilities</li> <li>Box No. VI Certain de Box No. VII Certa</li></ul>	<ul> <li>Priority</li> <li>Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</li> <li>IV Lack of unity of invention</li> <li>V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</li> <li>VI Certain documents cited</li> </ul>					
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has not international Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration.						
months from the date of ma whichever expires later.	months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date,					
For further options, see For 3. For further details, see note		· ·				
Name and mailing address of the ISA	•	Authorized Officer	Ches Palences			

European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Germano, A

Telephone No. +31 70 340-4202



# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NL2005/000133

	Box No. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
t	☐ a sequence listing					
	☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. format of material:					
	☐ in written format					
	☐ in computer readable form					
	c. time of filing/furnishing:					
	☐ contained in the international application as filed.					
•	☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.					
4.	Additional comments:					

## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/NL2005/000133

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3-6

No:

No:

Claims

1,2

1-6

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-6

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. The document US-A-6 231 617 describes the closest prior art to the present application, see figs. 3-5 and the relevant description.

In particular this document discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document, the wording adheres to the wording of claim 1):
"a liner (10) for connecting a prosthesis with the stump of an amputated lower leg, comprising a stocking (11) from a substantially flexible material for surrounding the stump with a first, open end (14) for receiving the stump therethrough, and a second, closed end (12) which is, on the outside, provided with coupling means (13) for coupling to the prosthesis, wherein the stocking (11) is, on inside, provided with a substantially form retaining disc (18a) for supporting the end of the stump, and wherein the substantially form-retaining disc (18a) is substantially non-round in view from the open end (14) of the stocking.

This disclosure corresponds to the subject-matter of claim 1 which therefore is not new and does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT.

- 2. The features of claims 2 are also disclosed, in combination with the features of claim 1, in said US-A-6 231 617. Therefore claim 2 is not new and does not meet the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT.
- 3. The features of claims 3 to 6 are not described in said US-A-6 231 617. Therefore the subject-matter of claims 3 to 6 is new and meets the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT.
- 3.1 However, these features merely refer to particular configurations and forms of the retaining disc. The skilled man would not to have to apply an inventive ingenuity in order to arrive to these forms, according to the circumstances.

  Moreover these forms are suggested in US-A-5 529 575.

Therefore claims 3 to 6 do not involve an inventive step and do not meet the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT

#### Re Item VII

### Certain defects in the international application

- 4. Independent claim should be cast in the two-part form in accordance with Rule 6.3(b) PCT, which in the present case would be appropriate, with those features known in combination from the prior art (document US-A-6 231 617) being placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b)(I) PCT) and with the remaining features being included in the characterising part (Rule 6.3(b)(ii) PCT).
  - In the present case however it appears that a new claim 1 to be filed should contain in its preamble at least all of the features of present claim 1.
- 5. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 6. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document US-A-6 231 617 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.
- 7. The description should be put in conformity with the claims as required by Rule 5.1(a)(iii) PCT.